# Guidance for Industry and Investigators

# Safety Reporting Requirements for INDs and BA/BE Studies

# DRAFT GUIDANCE

### This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER) Janet Norden at 301-796-2500, or (CBER) Office of Communication, Outreach and Development at 301-827-1800 or 800-835-4709.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> September 2010 Drug Safety

# Guidance for Industry and Investigators

# Safety Reporting Requirements for INDs and BA/BE Studies

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Communications Division of Drug Information, WO51, Room 2201 10903 New Hampshire Ave. Silver Spring, MD 20993 Phone: 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-847-8714 druginfo@fda.hhs.gov http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

or

Office of Communication, Outreach and Development, HFM-40 Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 1401 Rockville Pike, Suite 200N, Rockville, MD 20852-1448 <u>ocod@fda.hhs.gov</u> Phone: 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800 http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/default.htm

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) September 2010 Drug Safety

Draft — Not for Implementation

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW OF NEW REQUIREMENTS	1
А. В.	OVERALL CHANGES TO IND SAFETY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS New Safety Reporting Requirements for BA and BE Studies (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3))	2
III.	DEFINITIONS (21 CFR 312.32(a))	3
A. B. C. D. E. F.	Adverse event Adverse reaction Suspected adverse reaction Unexpected Serious Life-threatening <b>REVIEW OF SAFETY INFORMATION (21 CFR 312.32(b))</b>	3 4 6 6
		_
V.	MONITORING THE SAFETY DATABASE AND SUBMITTING IND SAFETY REPORTS	7
A. B. C.	SERIOUS AND UNEXPECTED SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTION (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i)) FINDINGS FROM OTHER SOURCES (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(ii) AND (iii)) INCREASED OCCURRENCE OF SERIOUS SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(iv))	7 11 12
VI.	OTHER SAFETY REPORTING ISSUES	12
A. B. C. D. E.	ALTERNATIVE REPORTING ARRANGEMENTS (21 CFR 312.32(c)(3)) INVESTIGATOR BROCHURE UNBLINDING INVESTIGATOR REPORTING (21 CFR 312.64(b)) INVESTIGATIONS OF MARKETED DRUGS (21 CFR 312.32(c)(4))	12 12 14 14 16
VII.	SUBMITTING AN IND SAFETY REPORT (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(v))	16
A. B. C.	FORMAT Where to submit Reporting timeframe	16 17 17
VIII.	FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION (21 CFR 312.32(d))	17
IX.	SAFETY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR BA AND BE STUDIES	18
A. B. C.	NEW BA/BE STUDY SAFETY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3)) BA/BE STUDIES CONDUCTED AT NON-US SITES HOW AND WHERE TO SUBMIT A REPORT	18 19 19
X.	IMPLEMENTATION	19

Draft — Not for Implementation

# **Guidance for Industry and Investigators**<sup>1</sup>

# Safety Reporting Requirements for INDs and BA/BE Studies

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

13 14 15

16

17

1 2

3

8

9

10

11

12

## I. INTRODUCTION

18 This document provides guidance to sponsors and investigators on safety reporting requirements

19 for human drug and biological products<sup>2</sup> that are being investigated under an investigational new

20 drug application (IND) and for drugs that are the subjects of bioavailability (BA) and

21 bioequivalence (BE) studies that are exempt from the IND requirements. This guidance contains

definitions used for safety reporting, makes recommendations on when and how to submit a

safety report, and provides advice on other safety reporting issues that have generated questionsfrom sponsors and investigators.

25

FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable

responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should
 be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are

cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

31

32

# II. BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW OF NEW REQUIREMENTS

33 34

35 On September 29, 2010, FDA published a final rule amending the IND safety reporting

36 requirements under 21 CFR part 312 and adding safety reporting requirements for persons

37 conducting BA and BE studies under 21 CFR part 320. The new requirements are designed to

- 38 improve the overall quality of safety reporting, strengthen FDA's ability to review critical safety
- 39 information, improve safety monitoring of human drug and biological products, and harmonize
- 40 safety reporting internationally.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Medical Policy in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) in conjunction with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at FDA.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For the purposes of this document, unless otherwise specified, all references to "drugs" or "drug products" include human drug products and biological products that are also drugs.

Draft — Not for Implementation

## 42 A. Overall Changes to IND Safety Reporting Requirements

43 44 Under former 21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i)(A) and (B), sponsors investigating a drug under an IND 45 were required to notify FDA and all participating investigators, in a written IND safety report, of 46 any adverse experience associated with the use of the drug that was both serious and unexpected, 47 and any finding from tests in laboratory animals that suggested a significant risk for human 48 subjects. The phrase associated with the use of the drug was defined to mean that "there is a reasonable possibility that the experience may have been caused by the drug" (former 21 CFR 49 50 312.32(a)). Notwithstanding this definition, sponsors frequently reported, as individual cases, 51 serious adverse experiences for which there was little reason to believe that the drug caused the 52 event. For example, sponsors often reported: 53 54 • Serious adverse experiences (e.g., mortality or major morbidity) that were likely to have 55 been manifestations of the underlying disease

Serious adverse experiences that commonly occurred in the study population independent
 of drug exposure (e.g., strokes or acute myocardial infarctions in an elderly population)

Serious adverse experiences that were study endpoints (i.e., the study was evaluating whether the drug reduced the rate of these events).

60

41

61 These types of reports are generally uninformative when reported as single events, (i.e., without

a comparison of the incidence of the event in treated and untreated subjects) and, therefore, do
 not meaningfully contribute to the developing safety profile of an investigational drug.

64 Attempting to review and evaluate these reports without the necessary context was also a drain

65 on resources for FDA, investigators, and institutional review boards (IRBs),<sup>3</sup> diverting them

66 from other activities.

67

68 The tendency for sponsors to default to reporting an uninformative individual case seems to have

69 been primarily related to misapplication of the *reasonable possibility* standard in the definition of

70 *associated with the use of the drug*. For an individual case of the types of adverse events

described above, there would generally not be enough evidence to suggest that there was a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the adverse event. Therefore, the event would not

72 reasonable possibility that the drug caused the adverse event. Therefore, the event would not 73 meet the definition of "associated with the use of the drug" and should not have been reported as

73 meet the definition of74 an IND safety report.

75

76 The new requirements revise the definitions used for safety reporting and make clear when to 77 submit expedited safety reports. The new requirements clearly distinguish circumstances in

78 which it is appropriate to submit individual cases and circumstances in which cases should be

79 aggregated and compared to a control group. These clarifications should increase the likelihood

80 that submitted information will be interpretable and will meaningfully contribute to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Investigators are required to promptly report "to the IRB...all unanticipated problems involving risk to human subjects or others," including adverse events that should be considered unanticipated problems (21 CFR 312.53(c)(1)(vii), 312.66, and 21 CFR 56.108(b)(1)). Investigators often submit to the IRB every individual case that they receive from the sponsor. For more information on when an unanticipated risk should be reported to an IRB, see FDA's *Guidance for Clinical Investigators, Sponsors, and IRBs, Adverse Event Reporting to IRBs – Improving Human Subject Protection*.

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

81 developing safety profile of the investigational drug and improve the overall quality of safety

- 82 reporting. In addition, reducing the number of uninformative individual reports will enhance the 83 ability of sponsors, FDA, investigators, and IRBs to focus on safety issues that affect public
- 84 health.
- 85

86 Because the new requirements specify reporting certain adverse events in the aggregate rather

- 87 than as individual cases, it is important for sponsors to have in place a systematic approach to 88 safety surveillance during product development that includes a process for evaluating
- 89 accumulating safety data (see section V.A.3.).
- 90 91
- B. New Safety Reporting Requirements for BA and BE Studies (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3))

92 93 Under former 21 CFR 320.31(d), certain in vivo BA and BE studies in humans were exempted 94 from the IND requirements under part 312 if specific conditions were satisfied (i.e., samples of 95 any test article and reference standard were reserved by the persons conducting the study and 96 released to FDA upon request, studies were conducted in compliance with the requirements for

97 institutional review set forth in 21 CFR part 56 and informed consent set forth in 21 CFR part

98 50). Although these studies were not subject to the IND safety reporting requirements under 21

99 CFR 312.32, FDA has received safety information from these studies that has provided

100 important information about drugs under investigation. For this reason, the final rule contains

101 new safety reporting requirements under 21 CFR 320.31(d)(3) for persons conducting BA or BE

- 102 studies that are exempt from the IND requirements. These new requirements will help FDA 103 monitor the safety of these drugs and better protect human subjects enrolled in BA or BE studies.
- 104

#### 105 III. **DEFINITIONS (21 CFR 312.32(a))**

106

107 The final IND safety reporting rule introduces new terms and definitions that are meant to be 108 clear, consistent, and in harmony with those used internationally. New definitions replace the

109 definition of the phrase associated with the use of the drug in former 21 CFR 312.32(a), which,

110 as discussed above, has been a source of confusion. The new definitions are provided below,

followed by further explanation or examples. 111

112

#### 113 A. Adverse event (21 CFR 312.32(a))

Adverse event means any untoward medical occurrence associated with the use of a drug in humans, whether or not considered drug related.

- 114
- 115 An *adverse event* (also referred to as an adverse experience) can be any unfavorable and
- 116 unintended sign (e.g., an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally
- associated with the use of a drug, without any judgment about causality. An adverse event can 117
- arise from any use of the drug (e.g., off-label use, use in combination with another drug) and 118
- 119 from any route of administration, formulation, or dose, including an overdose.
- 120

Draft — Not for Implementation

#### Adverse reaction<sup>4</sup> 121 **B**. 122

123 An adverse reaction means any adverse event caused by a drug. Adverse reactions are a subset 124 of all suspected adverse reactions for which there is reason to conclude that the drug caused the 125 event.

126

#### 127 С. Suspected adverse reaction (21 CFR 312.32(a))

128

Suspected adverse reaction means any adverse event for which there is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the adverse event. For the purposes of IND safety reporting, 'reasonable possibility' means there is evidence to suggest a causal relationship between the drug and the adverse event. A suspected adverse reaction implies a lesser degree of certainty about causality than adverse reaction, which means any adverse event caused by a drug.

129

130 Within the reporting requirement under 21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i), FDA makes clear the meaning 131 of *reasonable possibility* by providing the following examples of types of evidence that would 132 suggest a causal relationship between the drug and the adverse event.

133 134

135

- A single occurrence of an event that is uncommon and known to be strongly associated with drug exposure (e.g., angioedema, hepatic injury, Stevens-Johnson Syndrome)
- 136 • One or more occurrences of an event that is not commonly associated with drug 137 exposure, but is otherwise uncommon in the population exposed to the drug (e.g., tendon 138 rupture)
- 139 An aggregate analysis of specific events observed in a clinical trial (such as known 140 consequences of the underlying disease or condition under investigation or other events 141 that commonly occur in the study population independent of drug therapy) that indicates 142 those events occur more frequently in the drug treatment group than in a concurrent or
- 143 historical control group
- 144

145 Suspected adverse reactions are the subset of all adverse events for which there is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the event. Inherent in this definition, and in the requirement to 146 147 report them is the need for the sponsor to evaluate the available evidence and make a judgment 148 about the likelihood that the drug actually caused the adverse event. We consider the definition 149 of suspected adverse reaction and the application of the reasonable possibility causality standard to be consistent with the concepts and discussion about causality in the International Conference

150

151 on Harmonization (ICH) E2A guidance.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For the purposes of prescription drug labeling, the term "adverse reaction" is defined to mean "an undesirable effect, reasonably associated with use of a drug, that may occur as part of the pharmacological action of the drug or may be unpredictable in its occurrence. This definition does not include all adverse events observed during use of a drug, only those adverse events for which there is some basis to believe there is a causal relationship between the drug and the occurrence of the adverse event" (see 21 CFR 201.57(c)(7) and 201.80(g)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ICH E2A Guideline for Industry, Clinical Safety Data Management: Definitions and Standards for Expedited Reporting, March 1995, pages 6-7.

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

#### 152

## 153 **D. Unexpected (21 CFR 312.32(a))**

154

An adverse event or suspected adverse reaction is considered "unexpected" if it is not listed in the investigator brochure or is not listed at the specificity or severity that has been observed; or, if an investigator brochure is not required or available, is not consistent with the risk information described in the general investigational plan or elsewhere in the current application. For example, under this definition, hepatic necrosis would be unexpected (by virtue of greater severity) if the investigator brochure referred only to elevated hepatic enzymes or hepatitis. Similarly, cerebral thromboembolism and cerebral vasculitis would be unexpected (by virtue of greater specificity) if the investigator brochure listed only cerebral vascular accidents. "Unexpected," as used in this definition, also refers to adverse events or suspected adverse reactions that are mentioned in the investigator brochure as occurring with a class of drugs or as anticipated from the pharmacological properties of the drug, but are not specifically mentioned as occurring with the particular drug under investigation.

- 156 This definition relies entirely on a listing of the adverse events or suspected adverse reactions in
- 157 the investigator brochure (or elsewhere in the general investigational plan if an investigator
- brochure is not required or available) as the basis for determining if newly acquired information generated from clinical trials or reported from other sources is *unexpected*. The suspected
- 159 generated from clinical trials or reported from other sources is *unexpected*. The suspected 160 adverse reactions listed in the investigator brochure (i.e., "expected") are those observed with the
- 161 investigational drug and for which a causal relationship between the event and the drug is
- 162 suspected or confirmed. Thus, adverse events that would be anticipated to occur as part of the
- disease process are considered *unexpected* for the purposes of reporting because they would not
- be listed in the investigator brochure. For example, a certain number of non-acute deaths in a
- 165 cancer trial would be anticipated as an outcome of the underlying disease, but such deaths would
- 166 generally not be listed as a suspected adverse reaction in the investigator brochure.
- 167
- 168 In addition, some adverse events are listed in the investigator brochure as occurring with the
- same class of drugs, or as anticipated from the pharmacological properties of the drug, even
- 170 though they have not been observed with the drug under investigation. Such events would be
- 171 considered *unexpected* until they have been observed with the drug under investigation. For
- example, although angioedema is anticipated to occur in some patients exposed to drugs in the
- 173 ACE inhibitor class and angioedema would be described in the investigator brochure as a class
- 174 effect, the first case of angioedema observed with the drug under investigation should be
- 175 considered *unexpected* for reporting purposes.

Draft — Not for Implementation

#### 176

## 177 E. Serious (21 CFR 312.32(a))

178

An adverse event or suspected adverse reaction is considered "serious" if, in the view of either the investigator or sponsor, it results in any of the following outcomes: Death, a life-threatening adverse event, inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, a persistent or significant incapacity or substantial disruption of the ability to conduct normal life functions, or a congenital anomaly/birth defect. Important medical events that may not result in death, be life-threatening, or require hospitalization may be considered serious when, based upon appropriate medical judgment, they may jeopardize the patient or subject and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition. Examples of such medical events include allergic bronchospasm requiring intensive treatment in an emergency room or at home, blood dyscrasias or convulsions that do not result in inpatient hospitalization, or the development of drug dependency or drug abuse.

#### 179

180 This definition permits either the sponsor or the investigator to decide if an event is *serious*.

181 Because serious adverse events are critically important for the identification of significant safety

182 problems, FDA believes taking into account both the investigator's and the sponsor's assessment

183 is important. For example, the investigator's perspective may be informed by having actually

observed the event, and the sponsor is likely to have broader knowledge of the drug and its
 effects to inform its evaluation of the significance of the event. If either the sponsor or

investigator believes that the event is serious, the event must be considered serious and evaluated
 by the sponsor for expedited reporting (21 CFR 312.32(a)).

187

## 189 F. Life-threatening (21 CFR 312.32(a))

190

An adverse event or suspected adverse reaction is considered "life-threatening" if, in the view of either the investigator or sponsor, its occurrence places the patient or subject at immediate risk of death. It does not include an adverse event or suspected adverse reaction that, had it occurred in a more severe form, might have caused death.

191

192 As with the definition of *serious*, the determination of whether an adverse event is life-

193 threatening can be based on the opinion of either the investigator or sponsor. Thus, if either

believes that it meets the definition of life-threatening, it must be considered life-threatening for

- reporting purposes (21 CFR 312.32(a)).
- 196

# 197 IV. REVIEW OF SAFETY INFORMATION (21 CFR 312.32(b))

198

During the course of drug development, a sponsor becomes aware of new safety information from a variety of sources. In general, adverse event information will be reported to a sponsor by investigators conducting ongoing clinical trials. Safety information may come from domestic or foreign sources. In addition, some types of information should be sought by the sponsor as part of its continuous pharmacovigilance on the safety of the drug. The sponsor is required to promptly review all information relevant to the safety of the drug (21 CFR 312.32(b)). This

review should include examining data from all sources and deciding if an individual case of a

Draft — Not for Implementation

serious and unexpected adverse event meets the criteria for reporting, as well as evaluating all
 accumulating data at regular intervals to identify new safety signals.

208

209 The regulation includes some examples of sources, including information derived from any

210 clinical or epidemiological investigations, animal or in vitro studies, reports in the scientific

211 literature, and unpublished scientific papers. (See 21 CFR 312.32(b)). Reports may also come

- from foreign regulatory authorities and from foreign commercial marketing experience for drugs
- that are not marketed in the United States (21 CFR 312.32(b)). The sponsor should conduct
- 214 literature searches at least annually, or at other appropriate intervals, to seek safety information 215 and report it if necessary. Safety information from any other source would also need to be
- and report it if necessary. Safety information from any other source would also need to be reviewed by the sponsor (e.g., safety information presented at a professional meeting) (21 CFR
- 217 312.32(b)).
- 218

# 219 V. MONITORING THE SAFETY DATABASE AND SUBMITTING IND SAFETY 220 REPORTS

220

222 Under 21 CFR 312.32(c), the sponsor is required to notify FDA and all participating

investigators in an IND safety report of potentially serious risks from clinical trials or any other

source, as soon as possible, but no later than 15 calendar days after the sponsor receives the

safety information and determines that the information qualifies for reporting. *Participating* 

*investigators* include all investigators to whom the sponsor is providing drug under any of its INDs or under any investigator's IND (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)).

228

229 In addition, the sponsor must identify in each IND safety report, all IND safety reports

230 previously submitted to FDA concerning a similar suspected adverse reaction and must analyze

the significance of the suspected adverse reaction in light of previous, similar reports or any

other relevant information (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)). Sponsors should evaluate a suspected adverse

reaction in the context of other related reports or adverse events, including those that occurred in

pre- and postmarket studies. Sponsors should have processes in place to periodically review and

analyze their entire safety database, not only for IND safety reporting purposes, but also to

- 236 update investigator brochures with new safety information.
- 237

The sponsor must submit an IND safety report when any of the following criteria are met:

240

# A. Serious and unexpected suspected adverse reaction (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i))

The sponsor must report in an IND safety report any suspected adverse reaction that is both
serious and unexpected. Before submitting this report, the sponsor needs to ensure that the event
meets all three of the definitions contained in the requirement:

245 246

247

- Suspected adverse reaction
- Serious
- Unexpected

248 249

250 If the adverse event does not meet all three of the definitions, it should not be submitted as an expedited IND safety report.

Draft — Not for Implementation

252 253 Appropriately deciding whether the adverse event meets the definition of a *suspected adverse* 254 *reaction* is usually the most difficult determination, but it is critical to avoiding the submission of 255 uninformative IND safety reports. The sponsor should evaluate the available information and 256 decide if there is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the adverse event and, therefore, 257 meets the definition of a *suspected adverse reaction*. The suspected adverse reaction must then 258 be reported expeditiously in an IND safety report if it also meets the definitions of *serious* and 259 unexpected (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i)). 260 261 To assist sponsors with determining whether an adverse event meets the definition of suspected adverse reaction, the requirement under 21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i) specifies that sponsors are to 262 263 report to FDA *only* if there is evidence to suggest a causal relationship between the drug and the 264 adverse event and provides examples of such evidence, described below. 265 266 1. Individual occurrences (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i)(A)) 267 268 Certain serious adverse events are informative as single cases because they are 269 uncommon and are known to be strongly associated with drug exposure. Some examples 270 include angioedema, blood dyscrasias, rhabdomyolysis, hepatic injury, anaphylaxis, and 271 Stevens-Johnson Syndrome. The occurrence of even one case of such adverse events 272 would meet the definition of suspected adverse reaction (i.e., that there is a reasonable 273 possibility that the drug caused the event). 274 275 2. One or more occurrences  $(21 \ CFR \ 312.32(c)(1)(i)(B))$ 276 277 A single occurrence, or a small number of occurrences, of a serious adverse event that is 278 uncommon in the study population, but not commonly associated with drug exposure 279 may also be informative. If the event occurs in association with other factors strongly 280 suggesting causation (e.g., strong temporal association, event recurs on rechallenge), a 281 single case may be sufficiently persuasive to report in an IND safety report. Often, more 282 than one occurrence from one or multiple studies would be needed before the sponsor 283 could determine that there is a *reasonable possibility* that the drug caused the event. 284 Examples include tendon rupture or heart valve lesions in young adults, or 285 intussusception in healthy infants. 286 3. Aggregate analysis of specific events (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i)(C)) 287 288 289 Certain serious adverse events can be anticipated to occur in the study population 290 independent of drug exposure. Such events include known consequences of the 291 underlying disease or condition under investigation (e.g., symptoms, disease progression) 292 and events unlikely to be related to the underlying disease or condition under 293 investigation, but common in the study population independent of drug therapy (e.g., 294 cardiovascular events in an elderly population). An example of the former would be a 295 non-acute death observed in a trial in cancer patients. An example of the latter would be 296 an acute myocardial infarction observed in a long-duration trial in an elderly population

Draft — Not for Implementation

297 298 299	with cancer. In some investigations, serious adverse events that are consequences of the underlying disease may be study endpoints (e.g., mortality or major morbidity endpoints).
300	Because these serious adverse events meet the definition of <i>unexpected</i> at 21 CFR
202	512.52(a), as they are not instead in the investigator ordenate (see sections in.D. and $M(D)$ ) $1 + 0$
302	vI.B.), sponsors have often reported them individually in IND safety reports. However,
303	these events do not warrant expedited reporting as individual cases because it is not
304	possible, based on a single case, to determine that there is a reasonable possibility that the
305	drug caused the event. The following recommendations are intended to assist sponsors
306	with protocol development and monitoring the safety database.
307	
308	a) <u>Reporting study endpoints (21 CFR 312.32(c)(5))</u>
309	
310	For trials that are designed to evaluate the effect of a drug on disease-related
311	mortality or major morbidity, endpoint information should be collected, tracked.
312	and monitored, usually by a Data Monitoring Committee (DMC), during the
313	course of the study. The protocol would pre-specify a monitoring plan for
314	determining if subjects receiving the drug treatment are at higher risk for the
315	outcome (e.g., all-cause mortality) and such results would be reported according
316	to the protocol. The study endpoints must be reported to EDA by the sponsor
317	according to the protocol, and not as IND safety reports, except in unusual cases
210	(21  CER  212  22(a)(5)) For example, a death ordinarily would not be reported as
210	(21  CFR  512.52(C)(5)). For example, a death ordinarily would not be reported as
319	an individual case in an expedited report from a trial designed to compare all-
320	cause mortality in subjects receiving either drug treatment or a placebo. On the
321	other hand, in the same trial with an all-cause mortality endpoint, if the death
322	occurred as a result of an anaphylactic reaction that coincided with initial
323	exposure to the drug, or as a result of fatal hepatic necrosis, the death must be
324	reported as an individual case in an IND safety report because there would then be
325	evidence suggesting a causal relationship between the drug and the event (21 CFR
326	312.32(c)(5)).
327	
328	b) <u>Serious adverse events that are not study endpoints</u>
329	
330	Other serious adverse events that are not study endpoints, such as known
331	consequences of the underlying disease or condition under investigation or
332	events common in the study population, are also anticipated to occur with some
333	frequency during the course of the trial, regardless of drug exposure. In general,
334	a limited number of occurrences of an adverse event in a study population in
335	which occurrences of the event are anticipated independent of drug exposure is
336	not an adequate basis to conclude that the event is a suspected adverse reaction
337	(i.e., that there is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the event). Such
338	events should not be reported individually as they occur because they are
339	uninformative as single cases. At appropriate intervals the numbers of such
340	events in each arm of a controlled study should be compared and reported to
341	FDA expeditiously as an IND safety report if there is an imbalance between arms
342	suggesting there is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the adverse
J74	suggesting more is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the adverse

Draft — Not for Implementation

event. If an imbalance is noted in a study and the study is part of a larger drug development program, it will be important to evaluate the entire clinical trial database.

# *i.* Identifying and monitoring protocol-specified serious adverse events

At the time of protocol development, the sponsor should identify in the protocol the serious adverse events that it does not plan to report individually in an expedited manner because they are anticipated to occur in the study population at some frequency independent of drug exposure. It is not possible or desirable to list in the protocol every adverse event that may be common in the study population. Factors to consider when deciding which adverse events to identify include, for example, characteristics of the study population, natural progression of the disease, background event rates, co-morbid conditions, and past experience with similar populations. The sponsor should limit the list to those events that are common even in the absence of drug exposure. For example, in a long-term osteoporosis trial in an elderly population, it would be reasonable to list myocardial infarction, but unreasonable to list acute narrow angle glaucoma, an event that can occur in this elderly population, but is relatively rare. The protocol should also describe how the protocolspecified serious adverse events will be monitored. The sponsor or an independent group should monitor the identified events during the course of the trial and submit an IND safety report if an aggregate analysis indicates that the events are occurring more frequently in the drug treatment group (see section V.A.3.c.).

#### *ii.* Reporting serious adverse events that are not protocol-specified

The fact that an event is not identified in the protocol does not mean that the sponsor should report a single occurrence of the event expeditiously. The sponsor should use judgment in determining whether there is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the event. Often, a single case will be unpersuasive. For example, in the osteoporosis trial described above, a single case of acute narrow angle glaucoma would generally not be reported in an expedited IND safety report because such cases are seen in an untreated elderly population, but if monitoring for subsequent cases revealed additional cases in the drug-treatment group, the sponsor would consider the events to meet the definition of suspected adverse reactions at 21 CFR 312.32(a) and would report them expeditiously.

383 384

343

344

345

346 347

348

349 350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358 359

360

361

362 363

364

365

366

367

368 369

370

371

372 373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

Draft — Not for Implementation

#### 385 c) <u>Safety surveillance for ongoing clinical trials<sup>6</sup></u>

386 387 Because it is critical that a drug product's risks be adequately assessed during 388 development, sponsors should ensure that they have in place a systematic 389 approach for safety surveillance. Such an approach should include a process for 390 reviewing, evaluating and managing accumulating safety data from the entire 391 clinical trial database at appropriate intervals. In some cases, a specific 392 committee, preferably independent with substantial external representation, could 393 be created to perform this function. In others, the sponsor may choose to create a 394 safety team within the sponsor's organization that would oversee the evolving 395 safety profile of the investigational drug and evaluate, at appropriate intervals, the 396 accumulating data from individual and multiple clinical trials, as well as other 397 available information.

398

# 399B.Findings from other sources (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(ii) and (iii))400

401 The sponsor must also report expeditiously any findings from clinical, epidemiological, or 402 pooled analysis of multiple studies or any findings from animal or in vitro testing that suggest a 403 significant risk in humans exposed to the drug (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(ii) and (iii)). These reports 404 are required for studies from any source, regardless of whether they are conducted under the IND 405 or by the sponsor (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(ii) and (iii)). A finding that suggests a significant risk 406 would ordinarily result in a safety-related change in the protocol, informed consent, investigator 407 brochure (excluding routine updates of these documents), or other aspects of the overall conduct 408 of the clinical investigation. For example, actions often taken in response to a significant risk 409 finding include immediate revision of the informed consent, intensification of subject 410 monitoring, revised eligibility criteria or screening procedures, enrollment hold, or consideration 411 of discontinuation of the trial. The sponsor is also required to submit a protocol amendment that 412 describes the change to the protocol or other documents (21 CFR 312.30(b)) in addition to the 413 IND safety report.

- 414
- 415 416

1.

Findings from other studies (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(ii))

Findings that suggest a significant risk generally arise from ongoing or completed clinical
studies, pooled data from multiple studies, epidemiological studies, and published and
unpublished scientific papers. Findings from clinical studies that are subject to this
requirement are those that have not already been reported under 21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i).
For example, any clinically important finding from a drug interaction study or from a
study evaluating QT interval would be reported under this provision.

<sup>423</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For more discussion of this subject, see the *Report of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) Working Group VI*'s discussion of a "multidisciplinary safety management team" (Management of Safety Information from Clinical Trials. Report of CIOMS Working Group VI (2005), pp. 55-67) and FDA's guidances, *Establishment and Operation of Clinical Trial Data Monitoring Committees* and *Premarketing Risk Assessment*. FDA's guidances are available at

http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

424 2. Findings from animal or in vitro testing (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(iii)) 425

Findings from animal studies, such as carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, teratogenicity, or reports of significant organ toxicity at or near the expected human exposure are examples of the types of findings that could suggest a significant risk. Before reporting a finding to FDA, the sponsor should use judgment to determine whether the finding suggests a significant risk in humans or is too preliminary to interpret without replication or further investigation.

432

# 433 C. Increased occurrence of serious suspected adverse reactions (21 CFR 434 312.32(c)(1)(iv))

435

The sponsor must report any clinically important increase in the rate of a serious suspected

437 adverse reaction over that listed in the protocol or investigator brochure (21 CFR

438 312.32(c)(1)(iv)). A baseline incidence rate may not always be available, but when one is

439 available, a clinically important increase from that rate must be reported (21 CFR

440 312.32(c)(1)(iv)). The decision about when to report is a matter of judgment based on a variety

441 of factors including the study population, the nature and seriousness of the reaction, and the

442 magnitude of the observed increase in the rate. For example, rhabdomyolysis is a recognized,

infrequent adverse reaction that is known to occur in the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor class of
drugs (i.e., statins). A higher rate with one member of the class in a high-dose study would merit
reporting.

- 446
- 447

# VI. OTHER SAFETY REPORTING ISSUES

#### 448 449

# A. Alternative reporting arrangements (21 CFR 312.32(c)(3))

450

451 Title 21 of the CFR, §§ 312.32(c)(1) and 312.32(c)(1)(v) specify the format and timeframe for 452 reporting suspected adverse reactions in an IND safety report (see section VII). Sponsors may 453 request and adopt different reporting formats or frequencies if agreed to in advance by the 454 director of the FDA review division that has responsibility for review of the IND (21 CFR 455 312.32(c)(3)). In addition, FDA may require a sponsor to submit IND safety reports in a 456 different format or at a different frequency than required under 21 CFR 312.32(c)(1) and 457 312.32(c)(1)(v). (See 21 CFR 312.32(c)(3)). FDA may require a sponsor to continue to report 458 expeditiously a medically significant suspected adverse reaction that is listed in the investigator 459 brochure as observed with the drug (i.e., expected) so that its rate can be carefully monitored. 460 For example, if an occurrence of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome was observed in a subject receiving 461 the investigational drug, FDA may require expedited reporting of additional cases of rash of a 462 lesser severity. FDA may also require an alternative format or frequency for reporting suspected 463 adverse reactions from clinical trials once a study or design has been identified as posing a 464 potential or previously unforeseen risk to participants.

465

# 466 **B.** Investigator brochure

467

The purpose of the investigator brochure is to provide the investigator with information (clinical and nonclinical) about the investigational drug that is relevant to the study of the drug in human

Draft — Not for Implementation

470 subjects. The investigator brochure should include the information that is important for the 471 investigator who is administering the drug to human subjects to know and understand. The 472 investigator brochure is required to include information about (see 21 CFR 312.23(a)(5)): 473 474 Drug substance and formulation 475 Pharmacological and toxicological effects of the drug in animals (and in humans, if known) 476 Pharmacokinetics and biological disposition of the drug in animals (and in humans, if 477 known) 478 Information relating to safety and effectiveness in humans obtained from prior clinical 479 studies 480 Information about possible risks and side effects to be anticipated on the basis of prior 481 experience with the drug under investigation or with related drugs 482 Precautions or special monitoring to be done as part of the investigational use of the drug 483 484 Although the most important purpose of the investigator brochure is to provide the investigator 485 with information about the investigational product, the investigator brochure is also used by the 486 sponsor as the basis for determining if a suspected adverse reaction is *unexpected* for purposes of 487 IND safety reporting (see section III.D.). 488 489 1. Clinical risk information 490 491 With respect to clinical risk information, the investigator brochure should list those 492 adverse events that have been observed with an investigational drug and for which a 493 causal relationship with the drug is suspected or confirmed. In addition, the investigator 494 brochure should list adverse events that commonly occur with the class of drugs or may 495 be predicted to occur based on the pharmacological properties of the drug, even if not yet 496 observed with the drug under investigation, to alert the investigator to the possibility of 497 their occurrence. The investigator brochure should not list adverse events that are 498 unlikely to have been caused by the drug because such lists could dilute the importance 499 of clinically meaningful risk information and as a result, may put subjects at risk. 500 2. 501 *Updating the investigator brochure* 502 503 During the course of the clinical trial, the sponsor must update the investigator brochure 504 on an ongoing basis with new important safety information (21 CFR 312.55). Some 505 updates to the investigator brochure should be made as soon as possible while others can 506 be made on a routine basis. For example, a new safety finding that represents a 507 significant risk to study subjects (e.g., a finding that renally impaired subjects are likely 508 to experience a serious adverse reaction) should be communicated to the investigator 509 immediately, along with an update to the investigator brochure and possibly to the 510 protocol (e.g., a change in screening procedures and eligibility criteria). On the other 511 hand, an update to reflect a minor change in a suspected adverse reaction rate could be 512 done on an annual basis. 513 514 Until the investigator brochure is updated to include a new serious, suspected adverse

reaction, subsequent occurrences of similar serious, suspected adverse reactions must be

Draft — Not for Implementation

516submitted expeditiously in IND safety reports (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i)). The sponsor517should exercise judgment when deciding if the threshold has been reached for adding a518newly observed adverse event to the investigator brochure. Criteria to consider usually519include the strength of the evidence from individual or multiple cases and previous520knowledge about the drug or drug class. In some cases, the threshold for including an521adverse event may be lower if it could result in a significant adverse outcome for trial522participants.

## 524 C. Unblinding

525 526 The blind should ordinarily be broken for serious and unexpected adverse events that would meet 527 the criteria for reporting as single occurrences or one or more occurrences (see sections V.A.1. 528 and V.A.2). Knowledge of the treatment received is necessary for interpreting the event, may be 529 essential for the medical management of the subject, and may provide critical safety information 530 about a drug that could have implications for the ongoing conduct of the trial (e.g., monitoring, 531 informed consent). In general, if the blind is broken and the subject was receiving placebo, the 532 event should not be reported in an IND safety report because there is not a reasonable possibility 533 that the drug caused the adverse event. If the blind is broken and the subject was receiving drug 534 treatment (test drug or active comparator), the suspected adverse reaction must be reported in an 535 IND safety report (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(i)). Any similar occurrences in the placebo group 536 would be described in the IND safety report as part of the analysis of the significance of the 537 suspected adverse reaction in light of other relevant information, and subsequent occurrences 538 submitted as followup information to the IND safety report. For those adverse events that would 539 not be reported unless an aggregate analysis indicated that they are occurring more frequently in 540 the drug-treatment group than in the placebo group, a determination that the adverse event is a 541 suspected adverse reaction would require analysis and reporting of the event rates in both the 542 drug-treatment and placebo groups.

543

523

As described in section V.A.3.a. above, there should generally be no need to report unblinded study endpoints in an IND safety report. In many cases, an independent DMC would monitor the serious events that are study endpoints. If a sponsor has concerns that unblinding will compromise the integrity of the study, the sponsor can propose, in advance, an alternative reporting format or frequency to maintain the blind that must be agreed to by the director of the review division in FDA that has responsibility for review of the IND (21 CFR 312.32(c)(3)). Any alternative arrangements would need to identify the serious adverse events in the protocol

that will not be reported on an individual basis, and include the plan for monitoring and reporting results to FDA.

553

# 554 D. Investigator Reporting (21 CFR 312.64(b))

555

556 Most of the information about the safety of a drug prior to marketing comes from clinical trials.

557 Therefore, adverse event reports from investigators are critically important, as they are

558 monitoring the study subjects and making observations about the safety of the investigational

559 drug. Except for study endpoints, the investigator must immediately report to the sponsor all

serious adverse events, regardless of whether the investigator believes that they are drug related,

561 including those events listed in the protocol as anticipated to occur in the study population

Draft — Not for Implementation

independent of drug exposure or in the investigator brochure as predicted to occur with the drug
(21 CFR 312.64(b)) unless an alternative reporting arrangement has been made under 21 CFR
312.32(c)(3). Study endpoints that are also serious adverse events are reported to the sponsor in
accordance with the protocol.

566 567

568

### 1. Assessment of causality

569 Although the investigator's view of the causal relationship between an adverse event and 570 the investigational drug is important, FDA believes that the sponsor is better positioned 571 than the individual investigator to assess the overall safety of the investigational drug 572 because the sponsor has access to serious adverse event reports from multiple study sites 573 and is able to aggregate and analyze these reports. For this reason, investigators must 574 immediately report any serious adverse event to the sponsor, without regard to causality 575 (21 CFR 312.64(b)). However, it is also important for the sponsor to consider the 576 investigator's view when assessing the safety of the drug and determining whether to 577 report expeditiously to FDA because the investigator is knowledgeable about the human 578 subject (e.g., medical history, concomitant medications), administers the investigational 579 drug, monitors the subject's response to the drug, is aware of the subject's clinical state 580 and thus may be sensitive to distinctions between events due to the underlying disease 581 process versus events that may be drug-related, and may have observed the event. 582 Therefore, the investigator must include an assessment of causality (i.e., whether there is 583 a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the event) in the report to the sponsor (21 584 CFR 312.64(b)). The sponsor should decide how to capture the investigator's causality 585 assessment (e.g., rating scale, yes/no response to a question such as, "Was there a 586 reasonable possibility that the drug caused the adverse event?"). 587

588 2. Study endpoints589

590 The investigator must report study endpoints that are serious adverse events in 591 accordance with the protocol (21 CFR 312.64(b)). Because endpoints are specifically 592 defined in the protocol, they are often not collected on the adverse event pages of the case 593 report form. The exception to this reporting requirement is when there is evidence 594 suggesting a causal relationship between a drug and an event (e.g., death from 595 anaphylaxis). In this case, the investigator must immediately report the event to the 596 sponsor, even if the event is a component of the endpoint (e.g., all-cause mortality) (21 597 CFR 312.64(b)).

598 599

600

*3. Nonserious adverse events* 

601The investigator must record nonserious adverse events and report them to the sponsor602according to the timetable for reporting specified in the protocol (21 CFR 312.64(b)).603Generally, nonserious events are recorded on the case report forms, and are retrieved by604the sponsor, or submitted to the sponsor, at regular intervals during the course of the605investigation. The investigator's assessment of causality is not required for nonserious606adverse events.

Draft — Not for Implementation

608For certain trials, such as a postmarket outcome trial for a drug that has a well-established609safety profile, recording most nonserious adverse events may not be necessary. Under 21610CFR 312.32(c)(3), the sponsor can arrange that only specific types of adverse events be611reported to the sponsor (e.g., those that resulted in withdrawal from the study or cessation612of therapy, modification of dose, or addition of another drug). Other nonserious adverse613events would then not need to be recorded by the investigator on the case report form.

614

### E. Investigations of Marketed Drugs (21 CFR 312.32(c)(4))

615 616

According to 21 CFR 312.32(c)(4), the only reports that must be submitted as IND safety reports
for a drug marketed or approved in the United States are those arising from a study conducted
under the IND (at domestic or foreign sites). The sponsor must also submit safety information

from the clinical study as prescribed by the postmarketing safety reporting requirements (e.g.,

621 under 21 CFR 310.305, 314.80, 600.80, 606.170 or under the Dietary Supplement and

Nonprescription Drug Consumer Protection Act (Public Law 109-462)). All other reports (e.g.,

623 marketing experience, studies not under an IND) would be reported in accordance with the

624 relevant postmarket safety reporting requirements.

625

626 The table below<sup>7</sup> summarizes the reporting requirements for submitting safety reports from a 627 clinical study.

628

Drug marketed or approved* in U.S.?	Under U.S. IND?	Trial site location	Must report to IND?	Must report per postmarket requirements?
Yes	Yes	U.S. or Foreign	Yes	Yes
Yes	No	U.S. or Foreign	No	Yes
No	Yes	U.S. or Foreign	Yes	
No	No	Foreign		

\*If a drug is approved in the United States, but is not currently being marketed in the United
States, the postmarket requirements would still apply.

631

# 632 VII. SUBMITTING AN IND SAFETY REPORT (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(v))

633

# 634 A. Format

635

636The format for IND safety reports is based on the type of expedited report. For reports of637individual cases, a sponsor would ordinarily use FDA Form 3500A. FDA will accept foreign638suspected adverse reaction reports on a CIOMS I Form instead of FDA Form 3500A (21 CFR639312.32(c)(1)(v)). These forms should be completed with all available information, including a640brief narrative describing the suspected adverse reaction and any other relevant information. If641applicable, the narrative must also include identification of similar reports and an analysis of the

642 significance of the suspected adverse reaction (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reporting requirements for BA and BE studies that are not conducted under an IND and subject to the requirements under 21 CFR part 320 are not addressed in the table, but are addressed in section IX of this document. Areas in the table are left blank when an IND or marketing application would not exist.

Draft — Not for Implementation

643

- 644 For reports of overall findings or pooled analyses from published and unpublished in vitro,
- animal, epidemiological, or clinical studies, a narrative format must be used (21 CFR
- 312.32(c)(1)(v)). If the findings are published, the sponsor should include a copy of the
- 647 publication. FDA will typically also request information on the individual cases from aggregated648 data from a clinical study.
- 649
- Each report must prominently identify its contents (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(v)). For example, an
- IND safety report would be identified in box A5 of FDA Form 3500A as an "IND Safety
- 652 Report," and submission of follow-up information would be identified as a "Followup IND
- 653 Safety Report." Currently, FDA is not able to accept electronic submission of these reports.
- 654 655

# B. Where to submit

The report must be transmitted to the review division in CDER or CBER that has responsibility
for review of the IND (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(v)). If there are INDs in different review divisions,
the report should cross-reference all open INDs.

660

# 661 C. Reporting timeframe

The timeframe for submitting an IND safety report to FDA and all participating investigators is no later than 15 calendar days after the sponsor determines that the suspected adverse reaction or other information qualifies for reporting (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)). If FDA requests any additional data or information, the sponsor must submit it to FDA as soon as possible, but no later than 15 calendar days after receiving the request (21 CFR 312.32(c)(1)(v)).

668

669 Unexpected fatal or life-threatening suspected adverse reactions represent especially important

670 safety information and, therefore, must be reported more rapidly to FDA (21 CFR 312.32(c)(2)).

Any unexpected fatal or life-threatening suspected adverse reaction must be reported to FDA no later than 7 calendar days after the sponsor's initial receipt of the information (21 CFR

6/2 later than / calendar days after the sponsor's initial receipt of the information (21 CFR
 673 312.32(c)(2)). We recommend that sponsors notify FDA by telephone or facsimile transmission.

674 Other means of rapid communication (e.g., email) may also be used, if prior to transmission, the

574 Some inears of rapid communication (e.g., email) may also be used, if prior to transmission, in 575 sponsor contacts the Project Manager in the FDA review division that has responsibility for

676 review of the IND and ascertains that other means of rapid transmission are acceptable.

677

# 678 VIII. FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION (21 CFR 312.32(d))

679

680 Most IND safety reports are derived from observations from clinical trials. In the setting of a 681 clinical trial, information is collected in a controlled environment so that the information needed

to evaluate the suspected adverse reaction (e.g., information that would be contained in a

- narrative report or on FDA Form 3500A) is generally readily available. If any information
- 684 necessary to evaluate the suspected adverse reaction is missing or unknown, the sponsor should

actively seek such information from the source of the report. Any relevant additional

686 information that the sponsor obtains that pertains to a previously submitted IND safety report

687 must be submitted to FDA as a Followup IND Safety Report without delay, as soon as the

Draft — Not for Implementation

688 information is available (21 CFR 312.32(d)(2)). The sponsor should maintain records of its
 689 efforts to obtain additional information.

690

691 For example, if information on concomitant medications is obtained after the initial IND safety

692 report is submitted, and such information is relevant to evaluating the suspected adverse reaction,

a sponsor should submit a *Followup IND Safety Report* immediately. However, if the sponsor

obtains other information that is not relevant to evaluating the suspected adverse reaction,

records of such information should be maintained by the sponsor, and if applicable, submitted in an information amendment (21 CFR 312.31) or in an IND annual report (21 CFR 312.33).

697

# 698 IX. SAFETY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR BA AND BE STUDIES

The IND safety reporting requirements under 21 CFR 312.32 apply to BA and BE studies that
are conducted under an IND. However, BA and BE studies that meet the conditions for
exemption under 21 CFR 320.31 are not conducted under an IND and are not subject to the IND
safety reporting requirements. The final rule contains new safety reporting requirements under
21 CFR 320.31(d)(3) that apply to persons conducting BA or BE studies that are exempt from
the IND requirements. The following information addresses these new requirements.

706

707 FDA believes that BA and BE studies that meet the requirements for exemption are generally 708 safe. The occurrence of a serious adverse event is very unusual because the number of subjects 709 enrolled in such a study is small, subjects are usually healthy volunteers, and drug exposure is 710 typically brief. However, FDA occasionally receives safety-related information associated with 711 these types of studies, which could reflect either a problem with the drug product being evaluated 712 or with the study design being used. For these reasons, the occurrence of any serious adverse 713 event, whether or not it is considered drug-related, is of interest. Timely review of this safety 714 information is critical to ensuring the safety of study subjects.

- 715
- 716

# A. New BA/BE Study Safety Reporting Requirements (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3))

The person conducting a BA or BE study, including any contract research organization, must
notify FDA and all participating investigators of any serious adverse event, regardless of whether
the event is considered drug-related, observed during conduct of the study, as soon as possible
but in no case later than 15 calendar days after becoming aware of its occurrence (21 CFR
320.31(d)(3)). Serious adverse events observed in the investigational drug group and in the
approved drug group (e.g., reference listed drug) must be reported (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3)).

724

725 If any information necessary to evaluate the serious adverse event is missing or unknown, the 726 person conducting the study should actively seek such information and maintain records of 727 efforts made to obtain additional information. Any relevant additional information that is 728 obtained that pertains to a previously submitted safety report must be submitted to FDA as a 729 Followup Bioavailability/Bioequivalence Safety Report as soon as the information is available 730 (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3)). In addition, upon request from FDA, the person conducting the study 731 must submit to FDA any additional data or information that FDA deems necessary, as soon as 732 possible, but in no case later than 15 calendar days after receiving the request (21 CFR 733 320.31(d)(3)).

Draft — Not for Implementation

735 If the adverse event is fatal or life-threatening, the person conducting the study must also notify 736 the Office of Generic Drugs within CDER as soon as possible but in no case later than 7 calendar 737 days after becoming aware of its occurrence (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3)). We recommend that these 738 notifications be made by telephone or facsimile transmission.

739

734

## 740 B. BA/BE Studies Conducted at Non-U.S. Sites

741

742 Under 21 CFR 320.31(d)(3), persons conducting human BA and BE studies in the United States 743 that are exempt from the IND requirements under part 312 must report any serious adverse 744 events from the study to FDA and to all participating investigators. The requirements under 21 745 CFR 320.31(d)(3) do not apply to human BA and BE studies that are exempt from the IND 746 requirements and conducted outside of the United States. However, as part of the information 747 required to establish that the proposed drug product can be expected to have the same therapeutic 748 effect as the reference listed product, adverse event information from foreign clinical studies 749 must be included in the abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) submission (see 21 CFR 750 314.94(a)(7)).

- 751
- 752

## C. How and Where to Submit a Report (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3))

753

Each report must be submitted on FDA Form 3500A (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3)). The form should be completed with all the available information, including a brief narrative describing the serious

be completed with all the available information, including a brief narrative describing the serious adverse event, an assessment of causality, and any other relevant information. If applicable, the

adverse event, an assessment of causality, and any other relevant information. If applicable, the narrative should also include identification of other similar reports and an analysis of the

- rsignificance of the serious adverse event. A summary of the study protocol should be submitted
- with the report.
- 760

Each report must bear prominent identification of its contents (21 CFR 320.31(d)(3)). For

reample, a report would be identified in box A5 of FDA Form 3500A as a

763 Bioavailability/Bioequivalence Safety Report or a Followup Bioavailability/Bioequivalence

764 Safety Report, as applicable. The drug product should be listed in box C1 of FDA Form 3500A,

and if the serious adverse event occurs in a subject receiving the investigational drug product, the

established name of the reference listed drug should be listed and identified as investigational.

Because FDA is not currently able to accept email or electronic submission of these reports, send
 them in paper form to the Director, Office of Generic Drugs in the Center for Drug Evaluation
 and Research at FDA.<sup>8</sup>

771

# 772 X. IMPLEMENTATION

773

The effective date for the final rule is March 28, 2011. Many of the requirements in the final

rule are not new, but have been clarified to promote submission of meaningful safety

information. Because many sponsors already have processes in place for ongoing surveillance of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The address for the Office of Generic Drugs is available at

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/CDER/ucm119100.htm</u>. The phone and fax numbers (for fatal or life-threatening adverse event reports) are also available at this site.

Draft — Not for Implementation

accumulating safety information, FDA does not anticipate that implementation of these new

requirements will require sponsors to make major changes to their current practices or ongoing

clinical trials. However, sponsors should review their ongoing clinical trials and if a sponsor has

any questions about whether changes are necessary to meet the new requirements (e.g.,

aggregating certain serious adverse events, not reporting study endpoints as IND safety reports),

the sponsor should contact the FDA review division responsible for the IND.